

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•				
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,086	01	1/24/2005	Hirokazu Takeuchi	JCLA14365	JCLA14365 8897	
23900	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
J C PATEN 4 VENTUR		50		CHERRY, E	EUNCHA P	
IRVINE, C		30		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•				2872		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6 V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	JI
	10/507,086	TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EUNCHA P. CHERRY	2872	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
<del>'=</del>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•		erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5-7 and 9</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	nte	2)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2872

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5, the word "beomes" on line 8 appears to be a typographic error, perhaps the word should be "becomes". Appropriate correction is required. Also, the phrases "desired range" or "desired length" is unclear phrases, it appears "predetermined range" or "predetermined length" would overcome this objection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohgane (US 6,511,190 B2) in view of Snyder et al (US 5,080,706).

Ohgane discloses a prism (Fig. 2A) made of transparent glass (column 6, lines 40-42) comprising prism surfaces subject

to light (see 10), and corner portions adjacent to the prism surfaces (4 corners of prism 10), wherein a compressive stress layer having stress is formed in the surface of the corner portion (23 and 24, and see column 6, lines 34-39), wherein the optical film is formed on the prism surfaces (see 21, 22). Ohgane does not teach the surfaces of the corner portions that are fire-polished surfaces. Snyder et al discloses the teaching of fire polishing of an optical element before bonding an additional layer to the optical element (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fire polish surfaces so that smoothness can be obtained as taught by Snyder et al.

Ohgane in view of Snyder et al discloses the claimed invention as set forth above except for the stress range. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose the range, since it has been held that where general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 5-7 and 9 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim (claims 3, 7 and 9) or objected (claims 5 and 6), but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form

Art Unit: 2872

including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims (claims 3, 7 and 9) or re-write claims to overcome objections stated above (claims 5 and 6).

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims are allowable at least for the reason that the prior art does not teach or reasonably suggest the prism that satisfying the conditional equation as set forth in the claimed combination.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUNCHA P. CHERRY whose telephone number is 571-272-2310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DREW DUNN can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,086

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUNCHÁ P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 2872

9/22/05